

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,534	08/15/2006	Hidetaka Hiyoshi	HIYO3002/GAL	7257
23364 7590 10/14/2008 BACON & THOMAS, PLLC			EXAMINER	
625 SLATERS LANE			SHTERENGARTS, SAMANTHA L	
FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-1176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	11, 111 22311 1110		1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/589 534 HIYOSHI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Samantha L. Shterengarts 1626 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 November 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-14 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:

Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date		
3) T Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)	Notice of Informal Patent Application		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

Application/Control Number: 10/589,534 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

 Claims 1-14 are currently pending in the instant application and are subject to a lack of unity requirement.

Flection/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Group I: Claims 1-6 drawn to sym-tri-indole derivatives of formulas 1 and 3 and processes for preparing sym-tri-indole derivatives of formulas 1, 5, and 7.
- II. Group II: Claims 7-11 drawn to sym-tri-indole derivatives of formula 11 and processes for preparing sym-tri-indole derivatives of formulas 10, 11, and 14.
- III. Group III: Claims 12-14 drawn to sym-tri-indole derivatives of formulas 13 and 15 and a process of preparing sym-tri-indole derivatives of formula 15.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

PCT Rule 13 which pertains to the Unity of Invention states that, "[t]he international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept." Furthermore, 37 CFR 1.475 part (b) states the following: "An international or a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention

Application/Control Number: 10/589,534

Art Unit: 1626

will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following

Page 3

combinations of categories:

(1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or

(2) A product and a process of use of said product; or...", emphasis added.

Applicant's claims are drawn to multiple products and multiple methods of preparing the

distinct products.

The claims, therefore, lack unity of invention.

Accordingly, Groups I-III are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special

technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept. Therefore, since the claims do not

relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 and lack the same or

corresponding special technical feature, the claims lack unity of invention and should be limited

to only a product or a method of use. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in

reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Election of Species

3. As an additional requirement, with the election of any one of Groups I-III, an election of

species of a particular compound is also required. In order for this election to be considered fully

responsive to this requirement the election must include:

Groups I-III:

a) the name and structure of the instantly claimed compound

b) the location of the species (a) within the claims or (b) within the specification,

Art Unit: 1626

c) the claims that read on the elected species,

d) and a definition of the exact substitutions.

e.g. R₁ is Hydrogen, X is oxygen, etc...

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samantha Shterengarts whose telephone number is (571)270-5316. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 9-6pm. Art Unit: 1626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Samantha L. Shterengarts/ Examiner, Art Unit 1626 /Kamal A Saeed, Ph.D./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626